ABSTRACT

Improved understanding of the contribution of immune-inflammatory mechanisms in allergic diseases and asthma has encouraged development of biologicals and small molecules specifically targeting the innate and adaptive immune response. There are several critical points impacting the efficacy of this stratified approach, from the complexity of disease endotypes to the effectiveness in real-world settings. We discuss here how these barriers can be overcome to facilitate the development of implementation science for allergic diseases and asthma.
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INTRODUCTION

Biologicals and small molecules targeting specific inflammatory pathways have emerged as promising stratified approach for the treatment of severe allergic diseases. Regardless of the initial enthusiasm several drawbacks are yet to be overcome.

Allergic diseases pathogenesis involves a complex network of innate, adaptive immune and resident cells, epithelial barriers, cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, lipid and neuro-mediators, etc. These complex disease endotypes are continuously modulated by external and internal factors such as the exposome, epigenetic factors, microbiome, etc. The redundancy and plasticity of the pathogenetic network is difficult to be tackled through a very specific intervention targeting one cytokine or one receptor. Same holds true for selecting responders to a targeted intervention based on a few selected biomarkers. Last but not least, achieving selective immune modulation without altering the healthy immune response and with a long-lasting disease modifying effect is still not reached.

The effectiveness of the stratified approach in real life is hampered by many unknown factors such as the validity of the stringent selection criteria from randomized clinical trials for the general population or the accessibility and affordability of innovative diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Multidirectional and multidisciplinary integration of basic, patient-oriented, and population-based research and implementation science are stringent unmet...
needs for facilitating the transition from the stratified to the precision medicine approach in allergic diseases and asthma. 

We discuss here several critical points for the use of biologicals in severe allergic disease, from disease mechanisms and recent discoveries to the real-world evidence of their effectiveness.

UNDERSTANDING BETTER THE MECHANISMS CURRENTLY TARGETED WITH BIOLOGICS IN ALLERGIC DISEASES

Mechanistic studies have improved our understanding of molecular and cellular components involved in allergic diseases and our ability to treat severe patients (Figure). Omalizumab directed against immunoglobulin (Ig) E has become an established add-on therapy for patients with uncontrolled allergic asthma and chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU), while monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against interleukin (IL)-5 (reslizumab, mepolizumab), IL-5 receptor α chain (IL-5Rα; benralizumab), and IL-4 receptor α chain (IL-4Ra; dupilumab) have been approved as add-on treatments for uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma. Dupilumab is also approved for atopic dermatitis (AD) and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP). All these mAbs have complex pharmacokinetic profiles, dependent on their structure and administration route (intravenous or subcutaneous) and their efficacy is markedly influenced by the biology of their target antigen.

The eosinophils
Eosinophils are prominent pathogenic cells involved in asthma, AD, CRSwNP, eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE), and hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) and are found in high numbers in local tissue and/or circulating blood of affected patients. In healthy individuals, eosinophils contribute to protective immune responses directed against parasites, viral, bacterial, and fungal pathogens, are crucial for the survival of long-lived plasma cells and
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**Figure.** Targeted interventions in allergic diseases and asthma. AD, atopic dermatitis; CRSwNP, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; FA, food allergy; HES, hypereosinophilic syndrome; Ig, immunoglobulin; IL, interleukin; IL-4Ra, interleukin-4 receptor α chain; JAK, Janus-activated kinase; OIT, oral immunotherapy; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TSLP, thymic stromal lymphopoietin.
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are critical regulators of local immunity and remodeling/repair in both health and disease.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Homeostatic eosinophils present in healthy individuals in various tissues are related to the control of glucose homeostasis, protection against obesity, regulation of mammary gland development, and preparation of the uterus for pregnancy.\textsuperscript{9} In the lung homeostatic eosinophils that have been shown to suppress Th2-driven allergic airway responses.\textsuperscript{10}

Most human diseases accompanied by hypereosinophilia are associated with increased IL-5 production. The main sources of IL-5 are group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s), T helper (Th) 2 lymphocytes and in some cases transformed epithelial cells. IL-5 is a key modulator of the eosinophil's biology acting at many levels and during different time points, from proliferation, differentiation and maturation of IL-5R\textsubscript{α}-expressing eosinophil-committed progenitors in the bone marrow, to their pheresis, recruitment and activation in the tissues and inhibition of their apoptosis.\textsuperscript{11,12} IL-5 was described as having a very narrow set of cellular targets in humans, mainly eosinophils, basophils and a subset of mast cells expressing the IL-5R\textsubscript{α}. However, recent data showed that IL-5R\textsubscript{α} capable of signal transduction is expressed by neutrophils from the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid collected from children with treatment-refractory asthma and thus can play a role bridging atopic type 2 (T2) and innate anti-microbial immunity.\textsuperscript{13} Although IL-5 plays a central role in eosinophil biology, it is neither necessary nor sufficient for inducing fully an eosinophil mediated disease. IL-5 transgenic mice have marked eosinophilia in blood and certain tissues, without associated organ dysfunction.\textsuperscript{14} The reduction of bone-marrow eosinophils with benralizumab did not abolish eosinophilic infiltration in bronchial biopsies or eosinophil cationic protein levels in the sputum.\textsuperscript{15} Local mechanisms and/or of other cytokines promote eosinophils priming, recruitment, activation, and survival in the tissues. In humans, IL-5 is often co-expressed with other cytokines including IL-4 and IL-13 and associated in atopic individuals with increased IgE production.

Two types of antibodies have been developed to target IL-5: mepolizumab and reslizumab, directed against the cytokine itself, and benralizumab, directed against the IL-5R\textsubscript{α}. Anti-IL-5 antibodies bind to IL-5 and interfere with occupation of the IL-5R\textsubscript{α}, whereas anti-IL-5R\textsubscript{α} antibodies bind to the membrane-expressed receptor, inhibit signaling and induce cell lysis via antibody-dependent cytotoxicity. Both types of antibodies have been shown to rapidly reduce eosinophil counts in peripheral blood and in tissues in humans. A recent study showed that benralizumab modulates blood proteins or genes associated with eosinophils or basophils, most prominent in eosinophil-high vs. eosinophil-low patients.\textsuperscript{15} However, only half of the patients respond to anti IL-5 interventions, there is dissociated effect (decrease in exacerbations and oral glucocorticoids [OCS] without any major impact on lung function, airway hyperreactivity [AHR], rescue medication use, or quality of life [QoL] in asthma; no improvement in symptoms or histological remission in EoE) and the effect is lost when the biological is interrupted.\textsuperscript{16-18} Even more, rebound eosinophilia after cessation of anti-IL-5 interventions and attenuation of the treatment response with repeated dosing had been reported.\textsuperscript{18,19} One of the key questions is whether residual eosinophils following IL-5-targeted therapy are an intrinsically nonresponsive subset or residual homeostatic eosinophils or there is under-dosing of the mAbs or an autoimmune process changes the endotype.\textsuperscript{20,21}

Anti IL-5 antibodies decrease lung eosinophils by roughly 50%, without any major change in their functional phenotype.\textsuperscript{22,23} The IL-5R\textsubscript{α} is shed after eosinophils migration into the tissue, thus its expression is lower compared to blood.\textsuperscript{24,25} In addition, anti-IL-5 antibodies prolong the half-life of serum IL-5 and might potentiate IL-5 activity in certain conditions, although the clinical significance is unknown.\textsuperscript{26} In humans, the effects of IL-5 are restricted
to basophils and eosinophils. The expression of IL-5Rα on basophils is threefold lower compared to mature eosinophils and their differentiation is not dependent on IL-5 but rather IL-3. However, a complete depletion of basophils in peripheral blood was reported following benralizumab suggesting that even a low membrane expression of IL-5Rα can induce their apoptosis via antibody dependent cytotoxicity.29 ILC2s play a crucial role in eosinophils homeostasis. Whether ILC2 express IL-5Rα is controversial.30,31

The IL-4/IL-13 pathway
IL-4 and IL-13 are both pivotal cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of allergic diseases.32 Of particular interest is that by blocking the IL-4Rα signaling downstream processes such as local IgE formation (with a particular importance in nasal polyps), as well as the expression of chemokines attracting inflammatory cells to the tissue, including eosinophils, are downregulated.10 IL-13 is overexpressed in the lesional skin and has a significant impact on skin biology, including the recruitment of inflammatory cells, the alteration of the skin microbiome, and the decrease in the epidermal barrier function. The IL-13-rich local milieu causes barrier dysfunction by downregulating the OVOL1-filaggrin axis and upregulating the periostin-IL-24 axis.34 Recent data show that dupilumab restores the barrier function and the skin microbiome.35,36

Despite sharing the IL-4Rα in their signaling cascades, IL-4 and IL-13 have different functions in atopic inflammation. IL-13 preferentially participates in the peripheral tissues because tissue-resident ILC2 produce IL-13 but not IL-4. In contrast, lymph node T follicular helper cells express IL-4 but not IL-13 to regulate B cell immunity.14,37,38

Development of antagonistic antibody against IL-4Rα subunit of IL-4/IL-13 receptors is a promising therapeutic strategy for T2-mediated allergic diseases such as asthma, AD and CRSwNP. Both affinity and epitope are critical factors for the efficacy of anti-IL-4Rα targeted interventions.39 Currently, besides dupilumab, which blocks the binding of both IL-13 and IL-4 to their receptors, a number of new pharmacologic entities have been designed to target both IL-4 and IL-13 and/or their receptors and/or receptor-associated signal transduction machinery such as Janus kinases. Biologics targeting IL-13, such as the anti-IL-4Rα antibody dupilumab and the anti-IL-13 antibody tralokinumab and lebrikizumab, successfully improve AD lesions and further highlight the importance of IL-13 in the pathogenesis of AD. Anti-IL13 antibodies were however less successful in asthma.

IL-4 is a pleiotropic anti-inflammatory cytokine that is known to play an important role in the in the modulation of the hepatic immune system. The α subunit of the IL-4 receptor has been reported to promote liver regeneration through hepatocyte proliferation and regulate both the progression and reversal of liver fibrosis.40,41 Additionally, IL-4 has also been implicated in the progression to cirrhosis in patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV). The decision to initiate anti IL-4 treatment should be made with careful consideration and in collaboration with a hepatologist. Additionally, prophylaxis with antivirals should be considered to prevent a catastrophic hepatitis flare, liver failure, or HBV reactivation in the setting of immunomodulation therapy with anti-IL-4.42

The IgE pathway
IgE has been convincingly linked to the pathophysiology of allergic asthma and other allergic conditions.43 In the Mechanisms of the Development of Allergy (MeDALL) study IgE sensitization was associated with the frequency, persistence, and severity of allergic
Besides promoting T2 inflammation, the activation of allergen-specific memory Th2 cells by antigen-presenting cells via IgE-facilitated allergen presentation contributes to disease chronicity. IgE/FcεRI cross-linking inhibits virus-induced interferon-α responses of plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DCs) explaining the increased susceptibility to viral infections in allergic asthma and the effect of omalizumab in blunting viral exacerbations of asthma.

**The alarmins**

The alarmins, thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), IL-25, and IL-33, upstream regulators of T2 inflammation are expressed at high levels in T2 asthma, AD, CRSwNP and EoE. The alarmins initiate allergic and non-allergic inflammation through activation of ILC2, which are a rich source of cytokines such as IL-5 and IL-13. There is widespread expression of alarmins and their receptors across many effector cells, and recent studies have emphasized alarmin regulation of cluster of differentiation (CD) 4 T lymphocytes, eosinophils and basophils, and their progenitors. Furthermore, a link between alarmins and lipid mediators is being uncovered. Alarmins can drive well defined inflammatory pathways through activation of DCs and polarizing T cells to produce T2 cytokines, as well as they can directly activate many other effector cells that play a central role in allergic and nonallergic inflammation.

TSLP is a pleiotropic cytokine exerting its biological effects by binding to a high-affinity heteromeric complex composed of thymic stromal lymphopoietin receptor chain and IL-7Rα. TSLP is produced by activated lung and intestinal epithelial cells, keratinocytes, fibroblasts, DCs and mast cells. In human tissues there are two variants for TSLP: the main isoform expressed in steady state is the short form (sf) TSLP, which plays a homeostatic role, whereas the long form is upregulated in inflammatory conditions. mAbs used to neutralize TSLP should not interact or hamper the homeostatic effects of sfTSLP. Several cellular targets for TSLP have been identified, including immune (DCs, ILC2, T and B cells, natural killer T and regulatory T cells, eosinophils, neutrophils, basophils, monocytes, mast cells, and macrophages) and non-immune cells (platelets and sensory neurons). IL-33 is as potent eosinophil activator, similar to IL-5 and eotaxin-1, thus, important to consider for modulating eosinophil function. Through ILC2/IL-5 IL-33 promotes eosinophilopoiesis. Epithelial-derived IL-33 uniquely induces type-2 cytokines in mast cells, which regulate the expression of epithelial IL33 in a feedforward loop.

The broad pathophysiologic profile of TSLP has motivated therapeutic targeting of this cytokine. Tezepelumab is a first-in-class human mAb that binds to TSLP inhibiting its interaction with its receptor complex. Clinical trials with tezepelumab support a central role for TSLP in driving airway inflammation and asthma exacerbations, while ongoing trials blocking IL-33 and IL-25 will help to define their respective role in asthma and other allergic diseases.

**Upstream targets**

In diseases driven by multiple cytokines such as allergic diseases, a single antagonistic agent targeting up-stream multiple pathways is a therapeutic option with considerable translational benefit. Interleukin-1R3 is the co-receptor in three signaling pathways that involve six cytokines of the IL-1 family (IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-33, IL-36α, IL-36β, and IL-36γ). In vivo (animal models) targeting IL-1R3 significantly attenuated heterogeneous cytokine-driven inflammation and disease severity.

The Janus-activated kinase (JAK) family together with signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway has a key role in regulating the expression and
function of many inflammatory cytokines. Several JAK inhibitors are already on the market with proven anti-inflammatory efficiency. In a dose escalating study, a JAK inhibitor, ASN002 significantly suppressed key AD inflammatory pathways, corresponding to clinical response. Unfortunately, the oral route is hampered by adverse events, thus topical administration is currently investigated. Topical inhibition of JAK in the lungs, without relevant systemic exposure, is sufficient to reduce lung inflammation and improve lung functions in a rat asthma model.

**SHORT UPDATE-CURRENT AND NOVEL APPROACHES**

**Asthma**

Five mAbs are available for uncontrolled severe asthma targeting IgE (omalizumab), IL-4/IL-13 (dupilumab) and IL-5 (reslizumab, mepolizumab, and benralizumab). In the absence of endotype-predictive biomarkers, the choice largely depends on patient factors. Future studies should focus on cost-effectiveness of treatment, drug-drug comparisons, and long-term efficacy and safety. Recently evaluated in clinical trials are mAbs against TSLP, IL-33 and its receptor ST2, small molecule antagonists to the chemoattractant receptor-homologous molecule expressed on Th2 cells (CRTH2), the receptor for stem cell factor on mast cells, a DNA enzyme directed at GATA3 and CCJM112, an anti-IL17A. In addition, a number of antagonists directed against other potential targets are under consideration for future trials, including C-X-C chemokine receptor type 2/IL-8, IL-25, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-like ligand 1A, CD6, and activated cell adhesion molecule. Clinical data from ongoing and future trials will be important in determining whether these new medications will offer benefits in place of or in addition to existing therapies for allergic diseases.

Of note, patients with severe eosinophilic asthma show a comparable clinical benefit when targeting the IL-4/IL/13 pathway with dupilumab, or when targeting the IgE pathway with omalizumab, while the number of eosinophils in circulation and in sputum merely changes. The two pathways seem somehow independent as benralizumab treatment decreased exacerbations and improved lung function for patients with severe, uncontrolled eosinophilic asthma regardless of serum IgE concentrations and atopy status. Furthermore, dupilumab reduced severe exacerbation rates, improved forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and asthma control, and suppressed type 2 inflammatory biomarkers in uncontrolled, moderate-to-severe asthma patients with or without evidence of allergic asthma.

Simultaneous control of severe asthma and its multi-morbidities is a topic of major interest, while prescribing a biological. Efficacy on both asthma and CRSwNP symptoms is reported for all 5 biologicals approved for asthma. Dupilumab significantly improved allergic rhinitis (AR)-associated symptoms in patients with uncontrolled persistent asthma and comorbid perennial AR. Both randomized controlled and observational-type clinical studies have demonstrated the effectiveness and safety of omalizumab in patients with asthma and AR. A recent real-life study reported on the benefit of omalizumab for patients with asthma and food allergy (FA).

Algorithms may facilitate the identification of responders and non-responders during treatment, thus supporting the decision to continue therapy or the stop of ineffective treatment. For omalizumab the Global Evaluation of Treatment Effectiveness (GETE) score was validated and is currently under use. For reslizumab a similar evaluation after 16 weeks
of treatment based on exacerbations, FEV1, Asthma Control Questionnaire and Asthma QoL scores, can correctly predict a positive response at 52 weeks in 90% of cases with a sensitivity of 95.4%–95.5%. The algorithm had however a low specificity, thus it cannot reliably predict non-responders.71

**Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps**

CRSwNP is an inflammatory disease of the nasal and paranasal mucosa, which causes nasal obstruction, hyposmia, and rhinorrhea. Conventional therapy includes intranasal corticosteroids (INCS) and polypectomy, but INCS offer only modest benefits, and recurrence after surgery is common. Therefore, effective pharmacologic therapies for CRSwNP are being actively sought.

The mAbs under investigation, omalizumab, dupilumab, reslizumab, mepolizumab, benralizumab, and etokimumab target key players in the pathophysiology of CRSwNP.72-76 A recent systematic review evaluating omalizumab, reslizumab, mepolizumab, and dupilumab in CRSwNP showed all these biologicals effective in reducing total nasal endoscopic polyp score, opacification in computed tomography and T2 biomarkers, while improving quality of life measures, nasal airflow, and olfaction. Overall, the use of these agents was deemed safe and well-tolerated.77 Dupilumab has just completed phase III trials for CRSwNP with positive results (reduced disease severity, significantly improved HRQoL, and improved productivity) and was recently approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), while the other biologicals are currently in phase III trials for this indication. Other potential targets include TSLP, IL-25, IL-33, Siglec-8, and nuclear factor-κB.78

**Atopic dermatitis**

AD is one of the most common inflammatory skin diseases affecting children and adults characterized by pruritus, inflammatory erythematous skin lesions, and skin-barrier defect. The intense pruritus and rash can be debilitating, significantly impairing QoL. Current mainstay treatments with emollients, topical or systemic corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, and immunosuppressants have limited efficacy and potentially serious side effects.

Recent advances and understanding of the pathogenesis of AD have resulted in new therapies that target specific pathways with increased efficacy and the potential for less systemic side effects. A systematic literature review of 41 studies showed that the strongest evidence currently exists for dupilumab and cyclosporine at improving clinical disease severity.79 New FDA-approved therapies for AD are crisaborole and dupilimab. Dupilumab trials of up to 52 weeks demonstrated efficacy and a favorable safety profile in patients with moderate-to-severe AD inadequately controlled with topical medications.80-82 A favorable benefit-risk profile can be achieved all racial subgroups83 and a recent safety study showed that dupilumab does not require laboratory monitoring.84

Lebrikizumab, tralokinumab and tezepelumab showed promising results in phase II trials.85-87 In exploratory analyses, additional anti IL-13 mAbs benefits were observed in DPP-4- and peristatin-high subgroups. The JAK-STAT inhibitors (baricitinib, upadacitinib, PF-04965842, ASNO02, tofacitinib, ruxolitinib, and delgocitinib) have the most promising results of the emerging therapies. Other drugs with potential include the aryl hydrocarbon receptor modulating agent tapinarof and the IL-31Ra antagonist nemolizumab. A long-term prospective observational safety study is essential to fully characterize the safety profile of systemic immunomodulating therapies for patients with AD. The TREatment
of ATopic eczema (TREAT) Registry Taskforce offers a large platform to conduct such research using national registries that collect the same data using a predefined core dataset. Adult and pediatric patients who start treatment with dupilumab or another systemic immunomodulating agent for their AD will be included. The primary endpoint is the incidence of malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) compared between the treatment groups. Secondary endpoints include other serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest, such as eye disorders and eosinophilia.

**Chronic urticaria**

CSU has a significant effect on patients’ QoL. Current therapies include antihistamines, leukotriene receptor antagonists, and immunosuppressants. Omalizumab is the treatment of choice in patients with antihistamine-resistant CSU. Total IgE levels and their changes predict the response. The presence of antinuclear antibodies in CSU is a predictor of poor response to omalizumab, as this endotype seems driven by IgG antibodies. Of note, in responders, omalizumab reverts the transcriptional signatures associated with CSU lesion phenotype to non-lesional/healthy skin phenotype. Omalizumab should further be explored for the use in CSU, in children <12 years old with CSU, and at higher doses. The off-label use of dupilumab, relizumab, mepolizumab, and benralizumab can be effective in CSU. Ligelizumab and UB-221, 2 novel anti-IgE mAbs are in clinical trials for CSU. Other promising drugs that are currently under development for CSU are a CRTH2 antagonist (AZD1981), a mAb to Siglec-8 (AK002), Bruton’s tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Fenebrutinib and Lou064), topical Syk inhibitors (GSK2646264), and dupilumab. Promising targets of future therapies include the Mas-related G-protein coupled receptor X2, the histamine H4 receptor, C5a and its receptor, inhibitory mast cell receptors other than Siglec-8, IL-33/IL-25/TSLP, and stem cell factor.

**Food allergy**

Omalizumab has been extensively used to improve the efficacy and safety of oral immunotherapy (OIT) for FA. Results suggest particular benefit in patients with high risk of fatal anaphylaxis. An alternative approach is to use omalizumab instead of OIT to prevent severe allergic reactions upon accidental exposure.

**Eosinophilic esophagitis**

EoE is a chronic, allergen driven, immune mediated disorder of the esophagus, characterized by symptoms of esophageal dysfunction and eosinophil-predominant inflammation. Persistent, uncontrolled esophageal inflammation, frequently relapsing after discontinuation of the treatment, is associated with esophageal remodeling and stricture formation. Current treatment options consist of dietary intervention, endoscopic dilatation, and pharmacotherapy. The pathogenesis of EoE involves the activation of IL-5 and IL-13 pathways and local IgE production. Mepolizumab, reslizumab, omalizumab, an anti-IL-13 mAb (QAX576 and RPC4046), vedolizumab (anti α4β7 integrin), and infliximab have been evaluated but more data are needed. Dupilumab recently received orphan drug status for the treatment of EoE from the Orphan Drug Designation program of the FDA. Of note, a recent network meta-analysis showed viscous budesonide as the most effective pharmacologic therapy for EoE, superior to mepolizumab. Several other promising therapeutic agents are Siglec 8, TLSP, and IL-45 blocking antibodies.

**Hypereosinophilic disorders**

HES is a group of diseases defined by marked eosinophilia in blood or tissue and eosinophil-related clinical manifestations. Anti-IL-5 therapy has a glucocorticoid-sparing effect in
glucocorticoid-sensitive HES. Response is more likely in subjects with idiopathic or overlap forms of HES.  

Mepolizumab was successful in treating eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA) and is approved by FDA for this condition. However, only 50% of EGPA patients respond to mepolizumab and further exploration of the genotype or of the anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies positive and negative phenotypes is warranted to select responders. Phase II trials including reslizumab and benralizumab for EGPA are currently ongoing. Small phase 2 trials and case reports or document the efficacy and safety of anti-IL-5 interventions in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, Gleich syndrome, bullous pemphigoid, Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS) syndrome or cutaneous mastocytosis associated with hypereosinophilia.

**EFFICACY VERSUS EFFECTIVENESS – BIOLOGICALS IN REAL LIFE**

While omalizumab, benralizumab, mepolizumab, reslizumab and dupilumab have proven highly effective in T2 severe asthma, some patients with severe T2 asthma, as well as most patients with severe non-T2 disease, have poorly controlled disease. A post hoc analysis (129 patients, 64% women) of the international Identification and Description of Severe Asthma Patients (IDEAL) study aimed to evaluate the proportion of patients with severe asthma eligible for treatment with omalizumab, mepolizumab and reslizumab. The majority were overweight and 85% had at least one medical comorbidity. Asthma was poorly controlled in 67% and 24% had maintenance OCS. In this population 40% of patients were eligible for omalizumab, 27% for mepolizumab, and 2% for reslizumab. These findings show that a considerable proportion of patients with severe asthma remain uncontrolled and are not eligible for any of the available biological treatments.

Of note patient selection for biologicals in real life might not be optimal: many omalizumab users have low or very low adherence rates for ICSs and/or ICS-LABA in the 12 months before omalizumab initiation compared the matched cohort of nonusers. In addition, there might be a selection bias as patients prescribed mepolizumab had a different prevalence of certain comorbidities such as CRSwNP, higher disease burden, higher healthcare resource utilization and costs compared with patients prescribed omalizumab. Last but not least, use of biologicals remains uncommon, with prevalence peaking in 2006 at 3 in 1,000 individuals with asthma and there is inequity in access to biologicals, as higher likelihood of use was related with middle age, higher income, commercial insurance, and access to a specialist.

A validated assessment tool is needed to adequately evaluate response to biologicals in real-world settings. The Real-life Effectiveness of Omalizumab Therapy (REALITY) study evaluated The Standardized Measure to Assess Response to Therapy (SMART) tool was designed to define response by physician’s subjective assessment of asthma symptoms and control and objective assessment of 6 parameters (exacerbations, steroid bursts, emergency department visits, and hospitalizations; lung function; ACT score). True responders are defined as meeting both subjective and objective criteria.

Uncontrolled asthma is associated with considerable clinical burden and costs to payers and patients. The cost-effectiveness of biologicals based on real-world treatment patterns is unknown.
Based on real-world outcomes, omalizumab may be cost-effective for uncontrolled asthma from the US payer perspective. Including broader evidence on treatment discontinuation, caregiver burden, and OCS reduction from real-world studies and severe asthma registries may better reflect the effects and value of omalizumab for all healthcare stakeholders.

**INDIRECT TREATMENT COMPARISONS BETWEEN BIOLOGICALS**

As there are no head-to-head comparison between biologicals targeting the same phenotype and no good biomarkers exist for selecting responders to a selected intervention indirect treatment comparisons (ITC) and network metanalysis (NMA) tried to offer a solution for the choice of a particular biological. The ITC conducted by Busse *et al.* using licensed doses of the biologicals targeting the IL-5 pathway suggested that in patients with similar blood eosinophil counts mepolizumab was associated with significantly greater improvements in clinically significant exacerbations and asthma control compared with reslizumab or benralizumab. Casale *et al.* claimed that reslizumab is superior to benralizumab for Asthma Control Questionnaire score, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire score, FEV1, and clinical asthma exacerbations. Another indirect comparison suggested a better efficacy of benralizumab versus mepolizumab in reducing the OCS dose.

A key requirement of ITC and NMAs is that included studies have sufficiently similar designs, treatment durations and patient baseline characteristics to justify cross-study comparisons. Baseline asthma severity, atopic status definition, lung function, eosinophil cut-offs or exacerbation history and asthma duration are all important modulators of treatment efficacy. These differ across trials because of different inclusion or exclusion criteria, thus the ITC may be erroneous or biased. Matching-adjusted indirect comparisons (MAICs) are population-adjusted ITC attempting to reduce bias in treatment comparisons by matching patient-level data from the clinical trials of one treatment to aggregate data reported for comparator trials. Treatment-effect-modifying variables that differ across studies are used to weight the patient-level data to reflect the characteristics of the comparator’s patient population. Data similar to the aggregate of the comparator population are weighted more heavily when modelling study outcomes, similar to a propensity score, while data quite different from the comparator population will weigh less on the outcome. After matching the effective sample size (ESS) is considered. A small ESS means that the weighted population and non-weighted population have little overlap, which may result in unstable, invalid estimates. Thus, if the ESS is small the comparison cannot be done. In addition, unmeasured/unreported differences between trials cannot be matched, thus there is a degree of uncertainty. When baseline patient characteristics were matched across asthma trials, benralizumab and mepolizumab yielded similar efficacy in decreasing exacerbations and improving lung function. Benralizumab and reslizumab patient populations were too dissimilar to generate a sufficient ESS for a reliable estimate using MAIC.

**CONCLUSION**

Even if biologicals do not prove to be effective in all patients, studying their clinical impact and their associated immunologic markers will definitely help to better understand the endotypes of allergic diseases. Ultimately, what must be determined are the clinical
effectiveness and duration of benefit under real-world conditions. Most of real-world patients are not included in phase 2 and 3 trials or eligible for currently available biologicals based on regulatory approved criteria. Comparisons on cost-effectiveness of biologicals compared with standard care, particularly in vulnerable populations at high risk for poor outcomes are urgently needed.

REFERENCES


89. Ertas R, Ozyurt K, Atasoy M, Hawro T, Maurer M. The clinical response to omalizumab in chronic spontaneous urticaria patients is linked to and predicted by IgE levels and their change. Allergy 2018;73:705-12.


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF

https://e-aair.org

PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF


PUBMED | CROSSREF